

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/717,954 11/21/2000		Andreas Junghans	Beiersdorf 681-KGB 6713-D	1645		
7590 09/09/2004			EXAM	INER		
Kurt G. Briscoe, Esq.			AHMAD, NASSER			
Norris McLaug	hlin & Marcus, P.A.					
30th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
220 East 42nd Street			1772			
New York, NY	7 10017					
			DATE MAILED, 00/00/2004	DATE MAILED, 00/00/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(20			
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/717,9	954	JUNGHANS ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit	-			
		Nasser A		1772				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence addres:	s			
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, be period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seely received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the stateriod will apply and vestatute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be stutory minimum of thirty (30) o will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this commun	ication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5	30 August 2004	<u>4</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is r						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	• ,					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-22 and 24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-8 and 23</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Exar		_					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	· ·	- ,	- <del>-</del>	• ,			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority documents are less that the less than the les	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei le 17.2(a)).	ation Noved in this National Stag	e			
Attachment	(a)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 30, 2004 has been entered.

## Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Luhmann in the Office Action of April 9, 2004 in view of the amendment filed on August 30, 2004.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, 9-14 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreckel in view of Koreska in view of the amendment.
- 4. Claims 2 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreckel in view of Koreska in view of the amendment.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1772

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1- 10 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, as stated, is found to be confusing and indefinite because section (b) recites that the adhesive is sheet is covered by the UV-impermeable material on all six faces thereof or the sheet is entirely enclosed. However, the section (i) teaches that UV-impermeable liner covers top and bottom faces. This section (i) fails to teach that all six faces are covered by the impermeable sheet.

Claims 1, line 11, the phrase "the UV-impermeable" is found to be unclear as to whether it is directed to the impermeable material or not.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 9-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luhmann (6544639).

Luhmann relates to a combination comprising at least one adhesive sheet enclosed in a pack or carton (col. 3, line 65). The adhesive sheet is releasable by stretching in the

Art Unit: 1772

plane direction. However, Luhmann is silent with respect to the carton being UV-impermeable. It is notoriously well know in the art of packaging that cartons, such as those made from cardboards, are UV-impermeable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a carton that is UV-impermeable to pack the adhesive sheet thereinto to prevent for degradation and adverse environment.

Regarding the impermeability transmission range, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to optimize the impermeability levels for protecting the adhesive against degradation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-8 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art uncovered so far fails to teach that the pack is transparent or is sheetlike release liner.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. September 3, 2004.